

29/03/2021 8th EPO USPTO CPC Meeting Q&A

Query from	Question/Comment
from Guido Moradei to everyone: 1:54 PM	Sorry, but it's not clear to me the difference between first and invention cpc
from bodart to everyone: 1:55 PM	WHAT ABOUT German Patent Office? Any will they intend to classify?
from abelinskiy@aol.com to everyone: 1:56 PM	Doyou plan to put all CPC C-Set at Espacenet?
from Monika Hanelt, PDG,BE to everyone: 1:59 PM	Perhaps I overheard it but who checks that the other classifying offices classify according to your guidelines?
from Chris Torrero, Clarivate, UK to everyone: 2:00 PM	If you intend to put only a portion of the CPCs on the front page and not the C-Sets, will this affect the way that the data aresupplied inthe bulk data?
from bodart to everyone: 2:04 PM	When there is a revision, ESPACNET will adjust, and supposedly the US PTO, however, I got a question where actually the ESPACENT was adjusted and the US PTO not yet. What is the time frame after revision to adjust ESpacenet and USPTO website?
from Chris Torrero, Clarivate, UK to everyone: 2:05 PM	Will the date continue to be supplied in the bulk data?
from Paul Peters to everyone: 2:07 PM	Is there an option to get Indian documents indexed by CPC?
from Paul Peters to everyone: 2:14 PM	Taiwan may be complex
from Arndt MECKE, PDG, DE to everyone: 2:14 PM	Japan ? :-)
from bodart to everyone: 2:15 PM	Japan but without losing advantaged of F and Fi TERMS!
from Paul Peters to everyone: 2:18 PM	on the website it is not so easy to find the hierarchy of a specific symbol
from Paul Peters to everyone: 2:21 PM	It would be very useful that a discontinued CPC symbol would never be reintroduced with a different definition. This makes it clear that a symbol can only be linked with one definition dependent on the time of issue.
from Paul Peters to everyone: 2:18 PM	on the website it is not so easy to find the hierarchy of a specific symbol
from Paul Peters to everyone: 2:21 PM	It would be very useful that a discontinued CPC symbol would never be reintroduced with a different definition. This makes it clear that a symbol can only be linked with one definition dependent on the time of issue.
from bodart to everyone: 2:35 PM	Would it be possible to put alerts in your website on specific CPC telling when it is modified or removed
from Chris Torrero, Clarivate, UK to everyone: 2:35 PM	Is it policy that changes in IPC will always be reflected in CPC. That was not the case in Ecla. – yes, in general do algn to IPC
from Marjolaine Thulin AWA Sweden to everyone: 2:35 PM	It would be useful to have statistics published on the CPC website on the geographical coverage of CPC classification (how it is applied, which countries)
from 高山 秀一 to everyone: 2:38 PM	Will be CPC B60W allocated to only new publications? Or some of old documents will be reclassified to B60W?
	If end date shows, means symbol no longer valid
from Daniel Lowe, Minesoft, UK to everyone: 2:41 PM	cpcinfo.org provides the complete current scheme as XML. It would be useful to, additionally, have a cumulative scheme that includes discontinued symbols, in combination with the notes of which classification(s) replaced them. The validity file helps, but doesn't provide the symbol definition, or which classification(s) replaced the discontinued symbol.

Query from	Question/Comment
from Arndt MECKE, PDG, DE to everyone: 2:45 PM	OPS needs registration, but the feature Torsten requested would be nice for everyone!
from Marjolaine Thulin AWA Sweden to everyone: 3:00 PM	Regarding Japan and CPC a search in PatBase yields 1 824 384 patent families consisting only of Japanese documents and classified in CPC. Around 1/3 are classified in Y02 or Y04
from Guido Moradei to everyone: 3:03 PM	There are also plenty of Italian-only documents classified with CPC but official report does not mention it. They get the codes during the search made by the EPO or when cited in other procedures. It would be interesting to have this data too.
	Is it possible to have an index on occurrence (use) of C-Sets in patent documents organized by C-Set Ids or Base indexes. It would help a searcher to consider particular C-Sets in devising search strategies
	Y type pruning: this is a case of having the replacement CPC with corresponding other A-H CPC
from 高山 秀一 to everyone: 3:24 PM	Now are Y section CPCs allocated by human?, or computer?
from Marjolaine Thulin AWA Sweden to everyone: 3:25 PM	This may explain the Japanese documents classified in those classes
from abelinskiy@aol.com to everyone: 3:28 PM	I understand that C-Set IDs are used for definitions and are not searchable. But they could be used for clustering statistics on use of C-Sets, in extent they cover all authorised C-Sets.
	USPTO prepared in 2019 7 CBTs in CPC Essentials I-III series. How many of them released or planned to be released to public?
from abelinskiy@aol.com to everyone: 3:38 PM	Only CBT Essentials I Part A-C have been published on www.cooperativepatentclassification.org website, but not CPC Essentials II (Part A-C) and CPC Essentials III. The latter is on CPC Search Strategies and is not on published at website, see p.5 of https://www.cooperativepatentclassification.org/wcm/connect/cpc/0c5feafb-20c0-4fce-91c0-46203c8cd72e/CPC_Essentials_I_PartA.pdf?MOD=AJPERES&CVID=
from bodart to everyone: 3:39 PM	We already ask you or suggest you some comments on these three topics including alert services, ...
from EPO Classification to everyone: 3:40 PM	Yes Philippe, thank you!
from bodart to everyone: 3:40 PM	Do you plan to provide a minute of what has been exchanged during the meeting?
from abelinskiy@aol.com to everyone: 3:45 PM	Held, P., 2013. Cooperative Patent Classification (CPC) Combination Sets. https://www.cooperativepatentclassification.org/wcm/connect/cpc/661b9799-529f-43c5-bc90-aadfe8bafd15/CPC+Combination+Sets.pdf?MOD=AJPERES&CVID=